



Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Wash: gton, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
097060,872	04/15/9	S ESTELL	D	60527

HM11/1208

GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED 925 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO CA 94304-1013

EXAMINER SAUNDERS, D

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 15.44

DATE MAILED:

12/08/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

~	060872 ESTELL etal		
Office Action Summary	Examiner D. SAUNDERS Group Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—		
Period for Reply	1		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s)//	is/are pending in the application.		
•	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
• •	is/are allowedis/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. abjected to by the Examiner. er.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. abjected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). is of the priority documents have been		
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorice. □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies. □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bijected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). is of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bijected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). is of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). is of the priority documents have been umber) in International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). is of the priority documents have been umber) in International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		

Application/Control Number: 060,872

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-4, 8-11, drawn to proteases, classified in class 125

Claims 5-7, drawn to DNIA

WARMAN TO DNIA Claims 1-4, 8-11, drawn to proteases, classified in class 435, subclass 221.

Claims 5-7, drawn to DNA, vectors and host cells, classified in class 435, subclass

Claim 12, drawn to a method of determining T-cell epitopes, classified in class 435, subclass 7.24 and class 436, subclass 513.

Claims 13-14, drawn to methods of reducing allergenicity of a protein, classified in

class 435, subclass 7.24.

Claims 15-16, drawn to proteins with reduced allergenicity, classified in class 350, subclasses 350+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The compositions of Groups I and II are unrelated in that the products have different structures, properties and functions.

The method of Group III does not use any composition recited in Groups I or II and could be conducted to determine the T-cell epitopes of other, unrelated products, such as those of viral, tumor or self antigens.

The method of Group IV does not require use of the particular cells recited in the method of Group III for identifying T-cell epitopes and does not require measurement of antibody production as recited in the method of Group III. The identifying of T-cell epitopes in the method of Group IV could be conducted by other means -- e.g. Via T-cell proliferation assays.

III.

Mathewal IV.

Mathewal V.

Subclasses

Application/Control Number: 060,872

Art Unit: 1644

The products of Group V are distinct form the method of Group IV, since a product is a product, irrespective of the method by which it was identified or produced. For example, how would a protein from one strain of bacteria, that is less allergenic in humans as compared to another strain, be distinguishable in terms of its amino acid substitutions from the protein of the more allergenic strain?

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their recognized divergent subject matter and by their different required searches and classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If Group I is elected the following species election is required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: cleaning compositions, animal feeds, textile treating compositions, each of which requires a different search and each of which is associated with a technically distinct area.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 060,872

Art Unit: 1644

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Applicant is encouraged to respond to a written restriction via a Fax communication to 703-305-3704. Use attached form.

Application/Control Number: 060,872

Page 5

Art Unit: 1644

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Saunders at telephone number (703) 308-3976.

Typed 12/5/98 DAS

DAVID SAUNDERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 182 /644